From: Ryan Vise
To: Emily Lindley

Cc: Gray, David; Lori Wilson; Richard Chism; Andrea Morrow; Michael Honeycutt; Susan Johnson; Tracy Miller

Subject: Re: Do we have a winner on the AP response?

Date: Sunday, September 3, 2017 12:37:41 PM

Yes I will send to Arkema

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 12:27 PM, Emily Lindley < Emily.Lindley@tceq.texas.gov > wrote:

Ryan, we should send to Arkema as an FYI since they are mentioned. If you haven't already!

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 12:26 PM, Gray, David <gray.david@epa.gov> wrote:

Share final and we will broadcast also

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 12:25 PM, Lori Wilson < Lori. Wilson@tceq.texas.gov > wrote:

Good with Em's revision

Lori Wilson Executive Office TCEQ 512-239-1635

<image001.png>

From: Richard Chism

Sent: Sunday, September 03, 2017 12:23 PM **To:** Emily Lindley < Emily.Lindley@tceq.texas.gov>

Cc: Andrea Morrow < <u>Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov</u>>; Michael Honeycutt

< Michael. Honeycutt@tceq.texas.gov >; Gray, David < gray.david@epa.gov >; Ryan Vise

<<u>Ryan.Vise@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>; Susan Johnson <<u>susan.johnson@tceq.texas.gov</u>>; Tracy Miller

<<u>tracy.miller@tceq.texas.gov</u>>; Lori Wilson <<u>Lori.Wilson@tceq.texas.gov</u>>

Subject: Re: Do we have a winner on the AP response?

Im good.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 12:22 PM, Emily Lindley < Emily.Lindley@tceq.texas.gov wrote:

My edits below.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 12:17 PM, Andrea Morrow < <u>Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov</u>> wrote:

Any additions, corrections?

Air Quality Monitoring: Monitors are showing that air quality at this time is not concerning and local residents should not be concerned about air quality issues related to the effects of the

storm. Due to quick action and proper preparation by state authorities, all the ambient air quality monitors in the network from south of Corpus Christi to Beaumont were protected before the storm. Since then, state authorities are working to get the systems up and running again. As of Saturday, September 2, over 88 percent of monitors are up and working again in Corpus Christi, 85 percent in Houston, and 36 percent in Beaumont. Of the available air monitoring data collected from August 24-September 2, 2017, all measured concentrations were well below levels of health concern. Monitors are showing that air quality at this time is not concerning, and local residents should not be concerned about air quality issues related to the effects of the storm.

EPA has its surveillance aircraft conducting air monitoring for the Arkema plant fire. Also, EPA's mobile air-monitoring unit will be in Houston to assist with air monitoring as well. Also, EPA's mobile air monitoring Trace Atmospheric Gas Analyzer bus will be in Houston to assist with air monitoring as well. The TAGA is a self-contained mobile laboratory capable of real-time sampling and of outdoor air or emissions. The instrumentation refers both to the analytical instrument and the mobile laboratory built around it.

Emergency response monitoring at the Arkema facility evacuation perimeter is being conducted. We will make those data available as we are able. So far, nothing of immediate health concern has been detected.

We have established a Unified Command with other state and federal partners, and are in the field conducting rapid needs assessments. The TCEQ will use the available technology that will best support the field activities being conducted, which may include the use of hand held air monitoring equipment.

Continue to monitor the TCEQ's Hurricane Response website for updates: https://www.tceq.texas.gov/response/hurricanes

<u>Hurricane Response - TCEQ - www.tceq.texas.gov</u>

www.tceq.texas.gov

Information you might need if you are affected by a tropical storm or hurricane.

From: Michael Honeycutt

Sent: Sunday, September 3, 2017 12:10 PM

To: Andrea Morrow

Cc: Emily Lindley; Gray, David; Ryan Vise; Richard Chism; Susan

Johnson; Tracy Miller; Lori Wilson

Subject: Re: Proposed response to AP questions with EPA additions-

please review

Tuesday

On Sep 3, 2017, at 12:09 PM, Andrea Morrow <<u>Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov</u>> wrote:

Do we know when that will begin? That will make my life a lot easier!;)

From: Michael Honeycutt

Sent: Sunday, September 3, 2017 12:08 PM

To: Emily Lindley

Cc: Gray, David; Andrea Morrow; Ryan Vise; Richard Chism; Susan Johnson; Tracy Miller; Lori Wilson **Subject:** Re: Proposed response to AP questions with

EPA additions- please review

Could also add TCEQ will soon begin daily updates on air quality that will be available on hurricane webpage.

On Sep 3, 2017, at 12:06 PM, Emily Lindley < Emily.Lindley@tceq.texas.gov wrote:

What about adding this info in? It's pretty good.

As of Saturday, September 2, over 88 percent of monitors are up and working again in Corpus Christi, 85 percent in Houston, and 36 percent in Beaumont; and authorities expect that the network will be fully operational again by next week. Of the available air monitoring data collected from August 24-September 2, 2017, all measured concentrations were well below levels of health concern. Monitors are showing that air quality at this time is not concerning, and local residents should not be concerned about air quality issues related to the effects of the storm.

Anyone is welcome to disagree! Just my opinion. It's going in the other statement.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 11:57 AM, Gray, David <gray.david@epa.gov> wrote:

I should have the

information about on the ground monitoring around cosby in a few minutes

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 3, 2017, at 11:51

AM, Andrea Morrow

Amdrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov

wrote:

David, can you give me a description of what the TAGA bus does?

This is a response to the Associated Press questions:

1) We have been told EPA is doing air monitoring at the Arkema plant in Crosby. Can you tell me what your monitoring has found? What chemicals in what concentrations? Where are you doing the monitoring exactly, with what instruments? 2) Your data shows multiple ozone and PM monitoring stations in Houston were knocked out during the

story. Was it

indeed more than half of the ozone monitors? When do you expect them to be fixed and back online? 3) Are EPA/TCEQ monitoring air quality around Houston petrochemical plants and refineries to look for potential health and safety problems? Have they deployed any mobile air monitors? (I gather these are EPA crews working in coordination with TCEQ?) If so, what have they found in the last few days near the petrochemical plants around the ship channel? If they haven't been monitoring, why not? The startup and shutdown operations typically produce heavier emissions of airborne contaminants,

as we know.

than ozone and PM10 and PM2.5 are you monitoring for

any other specific compounds?

3)

What are

the

state

of

Texas

and

the

EPA

doing

to

monitor

public

health

near

the

petrochemical

plants

and

refineries

given

the

extraordinary

shutdown

and

startup

pollution

and

the

possibility

of

contaminants

released

into

their

neighborhoods?

Will

there

be

health monitoring? If so, by whom? If not, why not?

From: Emily Lindley Sent: Sunday, September 3, 2017 11:48 AM To: Ryan Vise Cc: Andrea Morrow; Gray, David; Michael Honeycutt; Richard Chism; Susan Johnson; Tracy Miller; Lori Wilson Subject: Re: Proposed response to AP questions with EPA additionsplease review

I added the word Arkema at the end of the 1st paragraph. I think we need to say what the TAGA bus is and what it does. I like that we got that in there. Just need to explain to the public more.

Just so I'm straight is this part of the larger statement from this

```
morning? Or
something
different?
Sent from my
iPhone
On Sep 3,
2017, at 11:44
AM, Ryan Vise
<<u>Ryan.Vise@Tceq.Texas.Gov</u>>
wrote:
     I'm
     good
     with
     the
     language
     Sent
     from
     my
     iPhone
     On
     Sep
     2017,
     at
     11:43
     AM,
     Andrea
     Morrow
     <<u>Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
     wrote:
           I've
           heard
           from
           Cory,
           David,
           and
           Mike.
           OCE
           are
           you
           ok
           with
           the
           start-
           up/shut-
           down
           language?
           Lori,
           Emily,
```

Ryan, any changes?

Air

Quality

Monitoring: Monitors

are

showing

that

air

quality

at

this

time

is

not

concerning

and

local

residents

should

not

be

concerned

about

air

quality

issues

related

to

the

effects

of

the

storm.

Due

to

quick

action

and

proper

preparation

by state authorities,

all

the

ambient

air

quality

monitors

in

the

network

from south of Corpus Christi to Beaumont were protected before the storm. Since then, state authorities are working to get the systems up and running again. As of Saturday, September 2, over 70 percent of the monitors are up and working again; and authorities expect that the network will be fully operational again by next week.

EPA

has

its

surveillance

aircraft

conducting

air

monitoring

for

the

Arkema

plant

fire.

Also,

EPA's

mobile

air

monitoring

TAGA

bus

will

be

in

Houston

to

assist

with

air

monitoring

as

well.

Emergency

response

monitoring

at

the

Arkema

facility

evacuation

perimeter

is

being

conducted.

We

will

make

those

data

available

as

we

are

. .

able.

So

far,

health concern has been detected. The same rules apply for startup, shutdown activities however delays may occur based upon factors related to the emergency in some situations (i.e. power outages, computer system failure, etc.).

nothing of immediate

From: Gray, David <gray.david@epa.gov>

Sent:

Sunday, September 3, 2017

11:38

 AM

To:

Michael

Honeycutt

Cc:

Andrea

Morrow;

Richard

Chism;

Ryan

Vise;

Susan

Johnson;

Tracy

Miller;

Lori

Wilson;

Emily

Lindley

Subject:

Re:

Proposed

response

to

ΑP

questions

please

review

Feel

free

to

add

that

EPA

has its

surveillance

aircraft

conducting

air

monitoring

for the

plant

fire.

Also,

our

mobile

air

monitoring

TAGA

bus

```
will
be
in
Houston
to
assist
with
air
monitoring.
Sent
from \\
my
iPhone
On
Sep
3,
2017,
at
11:35
AM,
Michael
Honeycutt
<<u>Michael.Honeycutt@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
wrote:
      Ah.
      Missed
      that.
      On
      Sep
      3,
      2017,
      at
      11:33
      AM,
      Andrea
      Morrow
      <<u>Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
      wrote:
            Не
            {\sf dropped}
            the
            ozone
            question,
            Mike.
            From:
```

Michael Honeycutt

Sent:

Sunday,

September

3,

2017

11:32

AM

To:

Andrea

Morrow

Cc:

Richard

Chism;

Ryan

Vise;

David

Gray

(gray.david@epa.gov);

Susan

Johnson;

Tracy

Miller;

Lori

Wilson;

Emily

Lindley

Subject:

Re:

Proposed

response

to

ΑP

questions

_

please

review

On

the

ozone

blurb,

you

could

add

that

TCEQ

and

EPA

send

ozone

notifications

like

we

```
always
do
to
subscribers
of
our
notification
systems.
There
was
nothing
unusual
about
this
notification.
On
Sep
3,
2017,
at
11:28
AM,
Andrea
Morrow
<<u>Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
wrote:
     Okay,
     what
     do
     you
     all
     think
     of
     this:
     Air
     Quality
     Monitoring: Monitors
     are
     showing
     that
     air
     quality
     at
     this
     time
     is
     not
     concerning
     and
     local
     residents
```

should not be concerned about air quality issues related to the effects of the storm. Due to quick action and proper preparation by state authorities, all the ambient air quality monitors in the network from south of Corpus Christi to Beaumont were protected before the storm. Since then, state authorities are working to get the systems

up and running

again. As of Saturday, September 2, over 70 percent of the monitors are up and working again; and authorities expect that the network will be fully operational again by next week.

Emergency response monitoring at the Arkema facility evacuation perimeter is being conducted. We

We will make those data available as we are able.

far, nothing of immediate health concern has been detected.

The same rules apply for startup, shutdown activities however delays may occur based upon

occur based upon factors related to the

emergency in some situations (i.e. power outages,

outages, computer system failure,

etc.).

From: Michael Honeycutt

Sent: Sunday, September 3, 2017 11:23 AM

To: Richard Chism; Andrea Morrow Cc: Ryan Vise; David Gray (gray.david@epa.gov); Susan Johnson; Tracy Miller Subject: Re: Proposed response to ΑP questions please review You could add that we are doing emergency response monitoring at the Arkema facility evacuation perimeter $\quad \text{and} \quad$ will make that data available as we have time. So far,

nothing

of immediate health concern has been detected. From: Richard Chism Sent: Sunday, September 3, 2017 11:19:57 AM To: Andrea Morrow Cc: Ryan Vise; David Gray (gray.david@epa.gov); Michael Honeycutt; Susan Johnson; Tracy Miller Subject: Proposed response to ΑP questions please review This is directly fromthe draft joint response this

morning.

You can use it.

Air

Quality

Monitoring: Monitors

are showing that air

quality at this

time is not

concerning

and local residents

should not

be

concerned about

air quality

issues related

to
the
effects
of
the

storm. Due to

quick action and proper

preparation

by state authorities,

all the ambient air

quality monitors

in the network from

of Corpus Christi to Beaumont were protected before the storm. Since then, state authorities are working to get the systems up $\quad \text{and} \quad$ running again. As of Saturday, September 2, over 70 percent of the monitors are up and working again; and authorities expect that the network will be fully operational again by next week.

Sent

south

```
my
iPhone
On
Sep
3,
2017,
at
11:14
AM,
Andrea
Morrow
<<u>Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov</u>>
wrote:
     Which
     is
     correct,
     65%
     or
     this:
     Air
     Quality
     Monitoring:
     One
     of
     the
     many
     preparations
     for
     Hurricane
     Harvey
     included
     EPA,
     TCEQ,
     and
     other
     monitoring
     entities
     temporarily
     removing
     approximately
     75
     percent
     of
     the
     stationary
     air
     monitoring
     equipment
     from
     the
     greater
```

from

Houston,

Corpus

Christi,

and

Beaumont

areas.

Since

then,

state

and

local authorities

are

working

to

get

the

systems

up

and

running

again.

As

of

Saturday,

September

2,

over

70

percent

of

the

monitors

are

up

and

working

again;

and

authorities

expect

that

the

network

will

be fully

fully

operational

again

by

next

week.

Of

the

available

monitoring data collected from August 24-September 2, 2017, all measuredconcentrations were well below levels of health concern. Monitors are showing that air quality at this time is not concerning and local residents should not be concerned about air quality issues related to the effects of the storm.

air

From:

Ryan

Vise

Sent:

Sunday,

September

3,

2017

11:07 AM

To:

Andrea

Morrow

Cc:

David

Gray

(gray.david@epa.gov);

Richard

Chism;

Michael

Honeycutt;

Susan

Johnson;

Tracy

Miller

Subject:

Re:

Proposed

response

to

ΑP

questions

_

please

review

I'm

good

with

these

answers.

Sent

from

my

iPhone

On

Sep

3,

2017,

at

11:06

AM, Andrea

Morrow

<<u>Andrea.Morrow@tceq.texas.gov</u>>

wrote:

FYI, Cory. Не has deleted the third question because he understands the nature of the AirNow report. I don't have sufficient information to answer these questions. suggest we say, the TCEQ has reactivated 65 percent of our monitoring network in the hurricaneaffected areas. (Insert EPA monitoring data here or explain why it is not available) The

The same rules apply for start-up, shut-

down activities however delays may occur based upon factors related to the emergency in some situations (i.e. power outages, computer system failure, etc.). Hourly data from the

operating ozone monitors in TCEQ's network are used by the EPA to predict air quality. What you are looking at is

a forecast based on one-hour (snapshot) readings. The 201 ppb

you referenced is not an actual monitored

```
reading,
    it
    is
    а
    projection.
    TCEQ
    is
    aware
    of
    elevated
    ozone
    levels
    west
    of
    Houston
    which
    is
    not
    unusual
    for
    this
    time
    of
    year.
1)
You
    are
   doing
    air
    monitoring
    at
    the
    Arkema
    plant
    in
    Crosby.
    Can
    you
    tell
    me
    what
    your
    monitoring
    has
    found?
    What
    chemicals
    in
    what
    concentrations?
    Where
    are
    you
    doing
    the
   monitoring
    exactly?
    2)
    Are
    EPA/TCEQ
   monitoring
    air
    quality
    around
    petrochemical
```

plants and refineries looking for potential problems? Have they deployed any mobile air monitors? (1 gather these are EPA crews working in coordinationwith TCEQ?) If so, what have they found in the last few days near the petrochemical plants around the ship channel? If they haven't been monitoring, why not? The startup and shutdown operations typically produce heavier emissions of airborne contaminants, right?

an ozone level of 201 ppb recorded in Houston on Friday on airnow.gov and Andrea Morrow of TCEQ told my colleague Jason Dearen that the reading was recorded as а single hourly max at one monitoring station. Your ozone level for the day (95 ppb) is based on an eighthour of average, she said. But that does not deny that single

station had that

saw

maximum level, correct? What station was it? Can you tell me what hour of the day? Did any other stations Very Unhealty ozon levels on Friday or Saturday? Hourly data from the operating ozone monitors in TCEQ's network are used by the EPA to predict air quality. What you are looking at

predict
air
quality.
What
you
are
looking
at
is
a
forecast
based
on
onehour
(snapshot)
readings.
The
201
ppb
you
referenced

is not an actual monitored reading, it is а projection. TCEQ is aware of elevated ozone levels west of Houston which is not unusual for this time of year. 4) What are the state of Texas and the EPA doing to monitor public health near the petrochemical plants and refineries given the extraordinary shutdown and startup pollution and the possibility of contaminants released

into their neighborhoods?

Will
there
be
health
monitoring?
If
so,
by
whom?
If
not,
why

not?